### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

### **PCT**

REC'D	1	0	APR	2006
WIFO				PÖT

### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference P036148WO HRG	FOR FURTHER ACTION See Form PCT/IPEA/416					
International application No. PCT/IB2004/004335	International filing date (	'day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 05.12.2003			
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC INV. C07K14/705 G06F19/00						
Applicant UNIVERSITY OF GRONINGEN et	al.					
This report is the international pro- Authority under Article 35 and tra	eliminary examination re Insmitted to the applican	port, established by the taccording to Article	nis International Preliminary Examining 36.			
2. This REPORT consists of a total	of 7 sheets, including the	nis cover sheet.				
3. This report is also accompanied	by ANNEXES, comprisir	ng:				
a. 🗵 sent to the applicant and						
sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis of this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions).						
sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental Box.						
b. (sent to the International Bureau only) a total of (indicate type and number of electronic carrier(s)), containing a sequence listing and/or tables related thereto, in celectronic form only, as indicated in the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the Administrative Instructions).						
4. This report contains indications r	relating to the following in	ems:				
☐ Box No. I Basis of the re	port					
☐ Box No. II Priority			•			
☐ Box No. III Non-establishr	ment of opinion with rega	ard to novelty, inventiv	e step and industrial applicability			
☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity o						
applicability; ci	itations and explanations	<ol> <li>with regard to nove supporting such state</li> </ol>	lty, inventive step or industrial ement			
☐ Box No. VI Certain docum						
4	s in the international app					
Box No. VIII Certain observ	ations on the internatior	nal application				
Date of submission of the demand		Date of completion of	this report			
05.10.2005		07.04.2006				
Name and mailing address of the internation	onal	Authorized officer	ches Petanism			
preliminary examining authority:			Bartherne			
European Patent Office D-80298 Munich T-1, 240 90 2300 0 Tv: 533656 opmud		Petri, B	. 110/01 Pet.			
Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523 Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465	sooo epmu d	Telephone No. +49 8	9 2399-7356			

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/IB2004/004335

	Вох	No. I	Basis of the report			
<ol> <li>With regard to the language, this report is based on the international application in the language in whiled, unless otherwise indicated under this item.</li> </ol>						
		which is	s the language of a tr	slations from the original language into the following language , anslation furnished for the purposes of: er Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b))		
		□ bub	ication of the interna	tional application (under Rule 12.4) examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3)		
2.	have	e been i	furnished to the recei	the international application, this report is based on (replacement sheets which iving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this e not annexed to this report):		
	Desc	cription,	Pages			
	1-56			as originally filed		
	Seq	Sequence listings part of the description, Pages				
	1-4			received on 17.03.2005 with letter of 16.03.2005		
	Clair	ms, Nur	nbers			
	1-56			received on 17.10.2005 with letter of 12.10.2005		
	Drav	wings, S	heets			
	1/24	-24/24		as originally filed		
	$\boxtimes$	a sequ	ence listing and/or ar	ny related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing		
3.				ulted in the cancellation of:		
		☐ the	description, pages claims, Nos.			
			drawings, sheets/figs sequence listing (sp			
		□ any	table(s) related to se	equence listing (specify):		
4.	. □ had Sup	not be	port has been establen made, since they tall Box (Rule 70.2(c)	lished as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the )).		
			description, pages claims, Nos.			
		□ the	drawings, sheets/figs			
			sequence listing <i>(sp</i> table(s) related to s	equence listing (specify):		
	*	If it	em 4 applies, s	ome or all of these sheets may be marked "superseded."		

#### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/IB2004/004335

Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial Box No. V applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims Claims

No:

8, 10, 12-13, 15, 17, 28, 34, 41-53, 60-62

1-7, 9, 11, 14, 16, 18-27, 33, 35-38, 54-59

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

8, 10, 12-13, 15, 17, 28, 34, 41-53, 60-62

Claims No:

Industrial applicability (IA)

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7):

Yes: Claims

1-62

Claims No:

see separate sheet

#### Certain observations on the international application Box No. VIII

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separate sheet

### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/IB2004/004335

	Sup	ple	emental Box relating to Sequence Listing					
Со	ntin	uat	tion of Box I, item 2:					
1.	With	With regard to any <b>nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence</b> disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this report has been established on the basis of:						
	a. ty	рe	of material:					
	D	⅓	a sequence listing					
oxtimes table(s) related to the seque			table(s) related to the sequence listing					
b. format of material:			at of material:					
		⅓	in written format					
	D	₫	in computer readable form					
	c. tiı	me	of filling/furnishing:					
		J	contained in the international application as filed					
			filed together with the international application in computer readable form					
	D	₪	furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search and/or examination					
	Ī		received by this Authority as an amendment on					
2.	⊠	the ac	addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating ereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or ditional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed appropriate, were furnished.					
3.	Add	ditional observations, if necessary:						

# Re Item I Basis of the report

Reference is made to the following documents:

- D1: WO 03/029420 A (GENENTECH, INC; KELLEY, ROBERT F; LINDSTROM, STEPHANIE H) 10 April 2003 (2003-04-10)
- D2: WO 99/36535 A (GENENTECH, INC; ASHKENAZI, AVI, J; KELLEY, ROBERT, F; O'CONNEL, MARK,) 22 July 1999 (1999-07-22)
- D3: WO 01/00832 A (GENENTECH, INC) 4 January 2001 (2001-01-04)
- D4: WO 88/06625 A (CETUS CORPORATION) 7 September 1988 (1988-09-07)
- D5: WO 2004/001009 A (GENENTECH, INC; HYMOWITZ, SARAH; KELLEY, ROBERT, F; LINDSTROM, STEPHAN) 31 December 2003 (2003-12-31)
- D6: VAN DER SLOOT ALMER M ET AL: "Stabilization of TRAIL, an all-beta-sheet multimeric protein, using computational redesign." PROTEIN ENGINEERING, DESIGN & SELECTION: PEDS. SEP 2004, vol. 17, no. 9, September 2004 (2004-09), pages 673-680, XP002324633 ISSN: 1741-0126

# Re Item II Priority

Since the priority document/s pertaining to the present application is/are not yet available to the IPEA, this Written Opinion/IPER has been drawn up considering the priority date as valid. D5 and D6 have/has been published between the priority date and the filing date of the present application. Thus, said documents are / document is not considered to constitute prior art in the meaning of rule 64(1)(b) PCT. However, if it turns out that the effective date of the claimed subject-matter is not the priority date then D5/D6 will become relevant to asses whether the present application satisfies the criteria set forth in Article 33(2) and (3) PCT.

#### Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/IB2004/004335

The instant application relates to muteins of TRAIL with desired properties (enhanced thermal stability and/or new receptor specificity). Claims are directed to positions 125, 163, 185, 187, 194, 196, 203, 205, 208, 225, 227, 230, 232, 234, 237, 239, 240, 241, 271, 272, 274, 280. Effects have been reported for E194I/I196S; D203/Q205M/Y237F; S225A; R227M: E194I/I196S/D203/Q205M/Y237F.

D1-D5 all disclose muteins for TRAIL/TNF at positions overlapping with the claimed positions. Claims 1-7, 9, 11, 14, 16, 18-27, 33, 35-38, 54-59 therefore lack novelty (Article 33(2) PCT).

The fact that certain effects/properties have not been examined for prior art products is irrelevant for assessing novelty for structurally indistinguishable products. Such properties have to be inherent. The same applies for products that may be produced by a novel process. This also applies for structural criteria as those of items a)-d). If a prior art document discloses a mutant TRAIL with a substitution at position that is also listed in e.g. claim 6, than it is irrelevant for the question of novelty whether claim one defines the positions with parameters other than a particular amino acid position.

The question on whether results to be achieved like definitions such as "so as to improve the free energy" "so as to be more stable" can serve as functional features to distinguish subject-matter for which protection is sought hinges on three prerequisites. (1) Does the application disclose a concept fit for generalisation that enables the skilled person to determine the nature of the substitutions over the entire breadth claimed that give the desired result? (2) Are test disclosed or known to the skilled person that allow to determine whether a given mutein has the desired properties? (3) Do the muteins of the prior art not have these desired properties? In said context it will be relevant that not all disclosed muteins have the desired properties (see below).

The same rational is to be applied to asses the allowableness of the unusual parameters a)-d) of claim 1.

#### Re Item VIII

Certain observations on the international application

### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/IB2004/004335

Claims 1-7, 9, 11, 14, 16, 18-27, 33, 35-38, 54-59 relate to cytokine/TRAIL muteins defined only by reference to the result to be achieved (Article 6 PCT)(see also above).

The claim set encompasses 7 independent claims all directed to cytokine muteins, as such contravening the conciseness criterion (Article 6 PCT).

Apparently not all mutations at the indicated positions even for TRAIL result in the muteins with desired properties (see page 38 lines 23-28)(Article 5 PCT).

From the previous it appears as if only particular structurally defined muteins of TRAIL could form a basis for a reasonable set of claims. In particular muteins with clearly defined structural features and demonstrated technical effects such as those of claim 7, 9-10, 12 appear to be novel and inventive. The extend to what this particular teaching can be broadened largely depends on the criteria as set out in the previous paragraph.

The electronically filed sequence is different from the originally filed sequences at pages 55-56.

Subject-matter for which protection is sought is to be defined by technical features that are clear in itself not by reference to prior art documents (claim 3)(Article 6 PCT).